

**CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS**

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS**

**FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013**

# **CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS**

## **SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS**

**FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance For Each Major Program And on Internal Control Over Compliance Required By OMB Circular A-133 and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular, and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	1-3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	4-7
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	8
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	9-10
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	11-12
Corrective Action Plan	13
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	14



## EDGIN, PARKMAN, FLEMING & FLEMING, PC

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

4110 KELL BLVD., SECOND FLOOR ▪ P.O. Box 750  
WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS 76307-0750  
PH. (940) 766-5550 ▪ FAX (940) 766-5778

MICHAEL D. EDGIN, CPA  
DAVID L. PARKMAN, CPA, CFE  
A. PAUL FLEMING, CPA  
J. MARK FLEMING, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major  
Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance  
Required by OMB Circular A-133 and the State of Texas Single  
Audit Circular, and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
City of Wichita Falls, Texas

### Report on Compliance for Each Major Program

We have audited the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal and state programs for the year ended September 30, 2013. The **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** major federal and state programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its federal and state programs.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** major federal and state programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable for financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular. Those standards, OMB Circular A-133, and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal or state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal and state program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination on the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** compliance.

### Basis for Qualified Opinion on Airport Improvement Program

As described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas** did not comply with requirements regarding CFDA 20.106 Airport Improvement Grant as described in finding number 2013-002 for Reporting. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas** to comply with the requirements applicable to that program.

## Qualified Opinion on Airport Improvement Program

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion paragraph, the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas** complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Airport Improvement Program for the year ended September 30, 2013.

## Unmodified Opinion on Each of the Other Major Federal Programs

In our opinion, the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas** complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its other major federal and state programs identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs for the year ended September 30, 2013.

## Other Matters

The **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

## Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas** is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas** internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal and state program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal and state program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over compliance that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

*A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Finding 2013-001 to be a significant deficiency.

The **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

#### **Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas** as of and for the year ended September 30, 2013, and have issued our report thereon dated March 17, 2014, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements as a whole. The schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

*Edgin, Parkman, Fleming & Fleming, PC*  
EDGIN, PARKMAN, FLEMING & FLEMING, PC

Wichita Falls, Texas  
March 17, 2014

# CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Contract Number	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures and Indirect Costs	Amount Relating to Pass-Through to Subrecipients
FEDERAL AWARDS:				
<u>U.S. Department of Agriculture</u>				
Passed Through Texas Department of State Health Services:				
Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants and Children	2013-042603	10.557	\$ 744,027	\$ -
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			744,027	-
<u>U.S. Department of Defense</u>				
Passed Through Office of Economic Adjustment:				
SAFB Joint Land Use Study	HQ00051210023	12.610	181,585	-
Total U.S. Department of Defense			181,585	-
<u>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</u>				
Direct Programs:				
Community Development Block Grant/ Entitlement Grant	B-11-MC-48-0030	14.218	646,056	203,356
Community Development Block Grant/ Entitlement Grant	B-12-MC-48-0030	14.218	447,615	-
Section 8 Rental Voucher/Certificate Program	TX498VO	14.871	3,669,129	-
HOME Investment Partnership Program	M-09-MC-48-0214	14.239	61,784	-
HOME Investment Partnership Program	M-10-MC-48-0214	14.239	239,214	-
HOME Investment Partnership Program	M-11-MC-48-0214	14.239	176,958	-
Total Direct Programs			5,240,756	203,356
Passed Through Tarrant County:				
Housing Opportunity for Persons with AIDS	2013-041148-010	14.241	43,492	-
Total Tarrant County			43,492	-
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			5,284,248	203,356
<u>U.S. Department of Justice</u>				
Direct Programs:				
Bureau of Justice Assistance:				
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant	2010-DJ-BX-0575	16.592	9,155	-
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant	2011-DJ-BX-2686	16.592	588	-
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant	2012-DJ-BX-0868	16.592	21,135	-
COPS Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants - ARRA	2009RKWX0838	16.710	154,833	-
Edward Byrne Memorial Grants Program - ARRA	2009-F1260-TX-SB	16.804	29,713	-
Total Bureau of Justice Assistance			215,424	-
Total U.S. Department of Justice			215,424	-

# CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS (CONT'D.) FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Contract Number	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures and Indirect Costs	Amount Relating to Pass-Through to Subrecipients
FEDERAL AWARDS (CONT'D.):				
<u>U.S. Department of Transportation</u>				
Direct Programs:				
Federal Aviation Administration:				
Airport Improvement Grant	3-48-0227-008-2010	20.106	173,210	-
Airport Improvement Grant	3-48-0227-010-2012	20.106	3,255,379	-
Airport Improvement Grant	3-48-0227-011-2013	20.106	216,709	-
Total Federal Aviation Administration			3,645,298	-
Direct Programs:				
Federal Transit Administration:				
Federal Transit Capital Investment Grants - ARRA	TX-96-0016-00	20.500	168,597	-
Federal Transit Capital and Operating Assistance Formula Grants	N/A	20.507	3,169,424	-
Total Federal Transit Administration			3,338,021	-
Passed Through Texas Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction	PL0012(28)	20.205	294,180	-
Formula Grants for Other than Urbanized Areas	51301F7153	20.509	300,000	-
Total Texas Department of Transportation			594,180	-
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			7,577,499	-
<u>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</u>				
Passed Through Texas Department of State Health Services:				
Healthy Community Project	2013-042761-001	93.531	269,287	-
HIV Prevention Activities-CTRPN	2012-040385	93.940	31,086	-
HIV Prevention Activities-CTRPN	2013-043150	93.940	78,800	-
HIV Prevention Activities-CTRPN	2014-001340-00	93.940	11,055	-
Preventive Health and Health Services Grant - Community and Rural Health	2013-041462	93.991	149,066	-
Preventive Health and Health Services Grant - Community and Rural Health	2014-001087-00	93.991	12,502	-
Total Texas Department of State Health Services			551,796	-
Passed Through Tarrant County:				
HIV Formula Grant-Care Consortium - Ryan White II	2011-037873-001	93.917	21,404	-
HIV Formula Grant-Care Consortium - Ryan White II	2013-041148-008	93.917	37,767	-
HIV Formula Grant-Care Consortium - Ryan White III	H76HA00123-21-00	93.918	152,269	-
Total Tarrant County			211,440	-
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			763,236	-

# CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS (CONT'D.) FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Contract Number	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures and Indirect Costs	Amount Relating to Pass-Through to Subrecipients
FEDERAL AWARDS (CONT'D.):				
<u>U.S. Department of Homeland Security</u>				
Passed Through Texas Department of Public Safety -				
Division of Emergency Management:				
Emergency Management Assistance Fire Grant	83.534	97.042	43,347	-
Homeland Security	EMW-2012-SS-00018-S01	97.073	7,945	-
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			51,292	-
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			14,817,311	203,356
STATE AWARDS:				
<u>Texas Department of State Health Services</u>				
Direct Programs:				
Tuberculosis - Prevention and Control	2013-042531	N/A	123,543	-
Immunizations - Epidemiology	2013-041462-004	N/A	216,391	-
Immunizations - Epidemiology	2014-000035-00	N/A	22,221	-
Bioterrorism	2013-041462-003	N/A	110,438	-
Bioterrorism	2014-001104-00	N/A	11,953	-
Bioterrorism Discretionary Project	2013-041462	N/A	19,299	-
Total Direct Programs			503,845	-
Passed Through Tarrant County:				
HIV - Health and Social Services	2013-041148-007	N/A	53,531	-
Total Tarrant County			53,531	-
Total Texas Department of State Health Services			557,376	-
<u>Texas Department of Transportation</u>				
Direct Programs:				
Transit Planning, Capital and Operating Formula Grant	51303F7068	N/A	317,590	-
RAMP Grant - Municipal Airport	M303WFALS	N/A	50,040	-
RAMP Grant - Kickapoo Airport	M303KICKA	N/A	49,883	-
Police Traffic Enforcement	2013-WICHITAP-S-RFR	N/A	52,297	-
Traffic Enforcement (Holiday Waves)	583EGF6513	N/A	8,762	-
Total Texas Department of Transportation			478,572	-



# CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS (CONT'D.) FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Contract Number	Federal CFDA Number	Expenditures and Indirect Costs	Amount Relating to Pass-Through to Subrecipients
STATE AWARDS (CONT'D.):				
<u>Criminal Justice Division</u>				
Direct Program:				
Gang Suppression Grant	2736301	N/A	8,547	-
<u>Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts</u>				
Direct Program:				
Texas Tobacco Compliance	N/A	N/A	2,701	-
Total Expenditures of State Awards			1,047,196	-
Total Expenditures of Federal and State Awards			\$ 15,864,507	\$ 203,356

# CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS

## NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

### Note 1 - GENERAL

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards presents the activity of all federal and state awards of the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas** (City). The City reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the City's financial statements. All financial assistance received directly from federal or state agencies, as well as federal or state financial assistance passed through other government agencies, is included on these schedules.

### Note 2 - BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. The Governmental Fund types are accounted for using a current financial resources measurement focus. Most federal and state grant funds were accounted for in the Special Revenue Funds, a component of the Governmental Fund types. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. Operating statements of these funds present increases (i.e., revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (i.e., expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

The modified accrual basis of accounting is used for the Governmental Fund types. This basis of accounting recognizes revenues in the accounting period in which they become susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available, and expenditures in the accounting period in which the fund liability is incurred, if measurable.

Federal and state grant funds are ordinarily considered to be earned to the extent of expenditures made under the provisions of the grant and, accordingly, when such funds are received, they are recorded as deferred revenues until earned.

### Note 3 - CONTINGENCIES

The City participates in numerous federal and state programs, on both a direct and state pass-through basis, as well as on a service-provider basis. Principal among these are Community Development Block Grants, Section 8 Housing Assistance, the Home Investment Partnership Agreement Program, and the Airport Improvement Grant.

In connection with these programs, the City is required to comply with specific terms and agreements as well as applicable federal and state laws and regulations. Such compliance is subject to review and audit by the grantors and their representatives.

Since such programs are subject to audit or review, the possibility of disallowed expenditures exists. In the event of any disallowance of claimed expenditures, the City expects the resulting liability to be immaterial.



## EDGIN, PARKMAN, FLEMING & FLEMING, PC

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

4110 KELL BLVD., SECOND FLOOR • P.O. Box 750  
WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS 76307-0750  
PH. (940) 766-5550 • FAX (940) 766-5778

MICHAEL D. EDGIN, CPA  
DAVID L. PARKMAN, CPA, CFE  
A. PAUL FLEMING, CPA  
J. MARK FLEMING, CPA

### Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
City of Wichita Falls, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas** as of and for the year ended September 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 17, 2014.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be provided, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Finding 2013-001 that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

## **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Finding 2013-002.

## **City of Wichita Falls, Texas' Response to Findings**

The **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

## **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the **City of Wichita Falls, Texas'** internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

  
EDGIN, PARKMAN, FLEMING & FLEMING, PC

Wichita Falls, Texas  
March 17, 2014

# CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

### A. Summary of Auditor's Results

An unqualified opinion was issued on the financial statements.

The audit disclosed one significant deficiency relating to the audit of the financial statements in the Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*.

The audit disclosed no noncompliance that is material to the financial statements.

The audit disclosed one significant deficiency relating to the audit of the major federal and state award programs in the Report on Compliance with Requirements For Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133 and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular.

An unmodified opinion was issued on compliance for major programs, except for the Airport Improvement Grant.

The audit disclosed one audit finding that is required to be reported in this schedule under OMB Circular A-133.

Major programs are as follows:

#### Federal:

14.218	Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grant
16.710	COPS Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants –ARRA
20.106	Airport Improvement Grant
20.500/20.507	Federal Transit Cluster

#### State:

Transit Planning, Capital and Operating Formula Grant  
Immunizations - Epidemiology

The threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs was \$444,519 for federal programs and \$300,000 for state programs.

The City was not classified as a low-risk auditee in the context of OMB Circular A-133 or the State of Texas Single Audit Circular.

### B. Findings Relating to the Financial Statements which are Required to be Reported in Accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards

Finding 2013-001 – Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

#### Criteria

The City's internal control over financial reporting should ensure accurate reporting in the City's financial statements as well as reporting any amounts to grantors.

# **CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS**

## **SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONT'D) FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013**

### Condition

During the year ended September 30, 2013, a deficiency in internal control resulted in the City reporting inaccurate grant expenditures to grantors on one of its grants.

### Cause

The reporting error was caused by the City not including all Airport Improvement Grant expenditures on the September 30, 2013 report.

### Effect

The City filed an incorrect report on the Airport Improvement Grant.

### Recommendation

We recommend that the City enhance its grant policies to ensure that all departments are aware of the requirements and are able to prepare accurate reports to grantors.

## **C. Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal and State Awards**

Finding 2013-001 – Significant Deficiency in Internal Control – See Above

Finding 2013-002 – Noncompliance Required to be Reported Under OMB Circular A-133

### Criteria

The City is required to complete various reporting requirements for federal and state grants received.

### Condition

Our test of the City's reporting revealed an instance of reporting errors. In the Airport Improvement Grant (CFDA 20.106), expenditures were under-reported by \$449,141 as of September 30, 2013.

### Cause

The reporting error was caused by the City not including all Airport Improvement Grant expenditures on the September 30, 2013 report.

### Effect

The City filed an incorrect report on the Airport Improvement Grant.

### Recommendation

We recommend that the City enhance its grant policies to ensure that all departments are aware of the requirements and are able to prepare accurate reports to grantors.

# **CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS**

## **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013**

### Finding 2013-001 and Finding 2013-002

The City will continue to remind departments to review all expenditure reports to ensure that the City's guidelines are being met.

The contact person for this corrective action plan is Jim Dockery, Assistant City Manager / Chief Financial Officer.

# **CITY OF WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS**

## **SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013**

There were no prior year findings.